

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/675,587	09/29/2003	Theodore Doros	42P16636	9936
75	590 03/09/2006	EXAMINER		
Jan Carol Little			TRINH, MICHAEL MANH	
BLAKELY, SC	KOLOFF, TAYLOR & 2	ZAFMAN LLP		
Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			2822	
Los Angeles, C	CA 90025-1026			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

3/

Advisory Action

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/675,587		DOROS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael Trinh	2822	

Advicery Action	10/0/3,367	DOROS ET.AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael Trinh	2822			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 28 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.			
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.		
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	706.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ctension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complising the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension of Appeal has been filed, any rook must be filed.	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	within the time period set forth in 3	37 CFR 41.37(a).			
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); Itter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re 116 and 41.33(a)). 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co): Illowable if submitted in a separate, will not be entered, or b) will wided below or appended.	TE below); ducing or simplifying ected claims. empliant Amendment (timely filed amendme ll be entered and an e	the issues for (PTOL-324). ent canceling the explanation of		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	vit or other evidence is	necessary and		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a l).		
 The request for reconsideration has been considered by of rejections and clear reasons as of record. 		•	nce because:		
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)			
13. [] Ottlet		Thal?	21		
		Michael Trinia Primary Examin	er.		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Adding and deleting limitations in base claims would require further consideration and/or search.

Michael Trinin Primary Examiner